

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Assess COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 9.0 Big 1450 Alexandria, Virgois 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,586	11/21/2001	Anna Berggren	216110USOPCT	7996

2259 1990 0603/2004

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA. VA 222314

EAAMINEK					
PRATT, HELEN F					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
1761					

DATE MAILEO: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	09/926,586	BERGGREN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Helen F. Pratt	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL. THE MAILING DATE OF FILS COMMUNICATION.  - Interesting of time rary be available using the provingers of 37 CPR 11 sets 50%, 90% MONTHS from the making date of the communication.  - If the period for righty specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a regree - If NO period for righty a specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a regree - If NO period for righty a specified above is less than thiny the station of the specified above is set of the specified above in the specifi	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (10) day will apply and will express XI (6) MONT HS from a same the amiliarity to have me a fill and how to	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing data of this communication to the communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	orii 2004.	
	action is non-final.	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar</li> </ol>	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits i
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
· ·		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>14-37</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>14-37</u> is/are rejected.		
<li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li>		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) Objected to by the f	yaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
Certified copies of the priority document:		
2 Certified copies of the priority documents		
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> </ol>		d in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	1.
Attachment(s)	-	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Summary	PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<ol> <li>Notice of Informal Professional Pr</li></ol>	atent Application (PTO-152)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.5 Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 09/926,586 Art Unit: 1761

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in the or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent. Claims 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Connolly (US 2002/0090416 A1).

Connolly discloses that it is known as in claim 14 to use probiotic bacteria to enhance metabolic processing from the gastrointestinal tract using acidophilus bacteria or other lactic acid producing bacteria (abstract). The probiotic organisms such as LB plantarium, and acidophilus can help repair and maintain healthy intestinal linings (page 3, para. 0032-0036 and 0037). The powdered preparation can be mixed with milk, water, juice, etc. (para. 0070). The product can be seen as a sports drink because it can be used by athletes, (para. 001) and absent any other ingredients, could be considered a sports drink because the reference discloses a liquid and the claimed bacteria

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this site, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the Application/Control Number: 09/926,586 Art Unit: 1761

> Invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 25 and 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the above references as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Kurppa (WO 98/46091).

Kurppa discloses a sports drink and powder as in claim 15 which contains micronutrients such as potassium chloride and magnesium sulfate and other conventional ingredients found in a sports drink (abstract and page 5, Ex. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to use known conventional ingredients in the composition of the above reference to make a sports drink because sports beverages can contain protein and lactobacilii as disclosed by Connolly

Claims 25 and 33 are now to a method of treating various gastrointestinal disturbances. However, as the claimed sports drink has been disclosed above, the various gastrointestinal disturbances would have been alleviated as the particular composition has been shown. Therefore, it would have been obvious to alleviate various symptoms by using a lactobacilli (LB) for its known functions.

Claims 34-37 require particular lactobacilli with particular deposit numbers.

Connolly discloses the use of LB plantarum. Nothing new is seen in the particular strain of plantarum absent anything new or unexpected. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use a known LB in the claimed soorts drink.

Claims 16-21, 26-29, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the above references as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Molin (WO 89/08405) and Wilkes (Food Product Design).

Claim 16 further requires particular bacteria and claim 17 various micronutrients and claim 18 particular amounts of ingredients. Molin discloses a health drink that contains lactobacilli bacteria. The reference does not disclose the claimed particular bacteria. However, it does say that the composition is good for racehorses, which have something in common with athletes in needing particular foods to enhance endurance when running (abstract and page 2, lines 10-18). Connolly discloses the particular bacteria. Micronutrients as in claim 17 are disclosed on page 8 of Molin. Wilkes discloses that it is known to use minerals in beverages to improve athletic performance. page 1, and page 5, para. 2. The particular amounts are seen as within the skill of the ordinary worker depending on the degree of fortification required. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use lactic acid producing bacteria and micronutrients in particular amounts in the composition of the combined references because Connolly discloses that bacteria, which give a positive effect on the mucosa are known and can be used to enhance the health of athletes, and Molin discloses that it is known to use micronutrients in a health drink and sports drinks are also health drinks. Therefore, it would have been obvious to add micronutrients as disclosed by Molin to the beverage of Connolly, since they are known to be used in health drinks.

Claim 19 requires proteins and amino acids and claim 20 whey proteins.

Proteins are well known in sports drinks, hence, large containers using particularly whey proteins are seen at health food stores. Connelly discloses the use of proteins in a beverage for athletes (col. 1, par. 0009). Also, Kurppa discloses the use of the amino

acid, glutamic acid in a sports drink (page 5, lines 7, in ex. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to use proteins and amino acids in the claimed beverage.

Claim 21 requires low glycemic type carbohydrates and optionally high glycemic index carbohydrates. This covers all carbohydrates. It would have been within the skill of the ordinary worker to use either one as the function of each type of carbohydrates in providing guick energy or long-term energy is well known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use known bytes of carbohydrates in the claimed composition.

The limitations of claims 26-29 and 32 have been discussed above and are obvious for those reasons.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the above combined references as applied to the above claims and further in view of Masuvama (WO98/05343).

Claim 24 further requires the use of freeze dried factobacilli with micronutrients in tablet form. Masuyama (WO98/05343) discloses that it is known to lyophilize (freezedry) lactobacillus and to form it into tables (page 9, lines 10-14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to treat lactobacilli as claimed in the composition of the combined references.

Claims 22, 23, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the above combination of references as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Portman (6,051,236).

Claims 22, 23, 30 and 31 further require additional ingredients to make a beverage. Connolly discloses the use of milk protein or any other related protein along with probotic bacteria, which have a positive effect on the intestinal mucosa as above (page 1, para. 0007-0009). The claims do not exclude the use of even milk protein, because whey is a part of milk. Portman discloses the use of whey protein in sports beverages with ingredients within the claimed amounts except for the lactobacillus (col. 9, lines 40-65). Connoily discloses high levels of the claimed bacteria, which could amount to the amount claimed depending on the amount taken. (page 1, para. 0001 and col. 5, claim 15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to make a sports beverage containing the claimed ingredients as shown by Portman and to combine it with the beverage of Connoily because convolving shown to use the claimed bacteria in a sports beverage.

# Allowable Subject Matter

The allowance of claims 22, 23, 30 and 31 has been removed in favor of the above art rejection.

### ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen F. Pratt whose telephone number is 571-272-1404. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Milton Cano, can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toil-free).

Hp 5-28-04

HELEN PRATT PRIMARY EXAMINER